

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/636,072	08/06/2003	James Lousararian	ANG 00.03 CIP5	9838
32047 759	90 09/18/2006		EXAM	INER
GROSSMAN, TUCKER, PERREAULT & PFLEGER, PLLC			YABUT, DIANE D	
55 SOUTH COMMERICAL STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3734	
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/636,07	7 2	LOUSARARIAN E	T AL.
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Diane Yat	out	3734	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicate r Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress
WHIC - Extending after the second of the sec	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply with eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even ication. tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be time. II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	n)⊠ This action is not allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-47 are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the	withdrawn from co			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/636,072 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-17, drawn to a pledget device, classified in class 606, subclass
 213.
 - II. Claims 18-29, drawn to a method for improving hemostasis at a wound site using a surgical staple and a pledget, classified in class 606, subclass 139.
 - III. Claims 30-47, drawn to a surgical staple device, classified in class 606 subclass 151.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions II and III are also related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case between Inventions II and I, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the pledget does not require notches, prongs, or holes in order to be attached to the staple, and can be just a plain, absorbent pad. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used with a suture. In the instant case between Inventions II and III, the combination as claimed

Art Unit: 3734

does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the staple need not comprise a physiologically active agent or modified surface character in order to be attached to the pledget. The subcombination has separate utility such as joining opposed sides of an incision together without the use of a pledget.

- 3. The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.
- 4. Inventions I and III related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as serving as a marker for a biopsy site. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR

Art Unit: 3734

1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 4

- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

GROUP I

SPECIES	FIGURE(S)	
1	72, 75, 76	
2	73-74	
3	77	

- Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.
- 9. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 3734

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 10. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

 MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 11. Claim 18 is generic for Group II to the following disclosed patentably distinct species. There is no generic claim for Group III.

GROUP II

SPECIES (stapler mechanism)	FIGURE(S)
1	7-15
2	16-17
3	67-71 .
<u>SPECIES</u> (introducer mechanism)	FIGURE(S)
4	18-26
5	27-32, 39, and 39A
6	35-36
7	20A, 33, 34, 37, and 38
8	40-59
9	60-66

Art Unit: 3734

GROUP III

SPECIES	FIGURE(S)	
1	1-3	
2	3A	
3	4-6	

12. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 6

- 13. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

 MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 14. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 15. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 3734

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 16. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 17. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane Yabut whose telephone number is (571) 272-6831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9AM-4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3734

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DY

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER